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Good morning and welcome to our London 2014 investor seminar.
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**Slide 2 - Cautionary Statement**

Cautionary statement

This presentation has been prepared by Rio Tinto plc and Rio Tinto Limited ("Rio Tinto"). By accessing/attending this presentation you acknowledge that you have read and understood the following statement.

Forward-looking statements

This document contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of the Rio Tinto Group. These statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the US Securities Act of 1933, and Section 21E of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words "intend", "aim", "project", "anticipate", "estimate", "plan", "believe", "expects", "may", "should", "will", "target", "set to" or similar expressions, commonly identify such forward-looking statements.

Examples of forward-looking statements include those regarding estimated ore reserves, anticipated production or construction dates, costs, outputs and productive lives of assets or similar factors. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors set forth in this presentation that are beyond the Rio Tinto Group's control.

For example, future ore reserves will be based in part on market prices that may vary significantly from current levels. These may materially affect the timing and feasibility of particular developments. Other factors include the ability to produce and transport products profitably, demand for our products, changes to the assumptions regarding the recoverable value of our tangible and intangible assets, the effect of foreign currency exchange rates on market prices and operating costs, and activities by governmental authorities, such as changes in taxation, regulation, and political uncertainty.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, actual results could be materially different from projected future results expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements which speak only as to the date of this presentation. Except as required by applicable regulations or by law, the Rio Tinto Group does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or future events. The Group cannot guarantee that its forward-looking statements will not differ materially from actual results. In this presentation all figures are US dollars unless stated otherwise.
Today the focus is on our Aluminium, Copper and Diamonds and Minerals businesses.

However, to put everything in context, Chris and I will set out some key points and Andrew Harding will then provide some key highlights on iron ore.

So before I hand over to the members of my executive team here today, let me share some of the highlights of the progress Rio Tinto has made since Chris and I took over in early 2013.

We’ve improved our business by reducing our costs. $4.4 billion to date, and by the end of 2015 this reduction will total $5.4 billion.

We’ve also reshaped the portfolio, recycling $3.5 billion of capital through selling non-core assets, since the beginning of 2013. And, as you would expect, we’ll continue to optimise our portfolio over time, as we look to recycle capital, where we believe assets no longer form part of our core portfolio.

We’ve strengthened our capital allocation and expect our capex to be under $8.5 billion this year; a third less than last year. And this is not at the expense of value-adding growth - by spending wisely, we’ve delivered copper equivalent growth this year of six per cent.
Taking these steps, enabled us to reduce our net debt by $6 billion over the last 12 months, reaching our mid-teens target and bringing our gearing ratio down to 22% at the end of the first half.

These are all seriously impressive achievements, which are transforming our business into a more streamlined, accountable organisation – positioning us well to deliver materially increased returns to shareholders on a sustainable basis.

**Slide 4 - Our commitment to shareholders**

Our confidence in our ability to deliver industry leading sustainable returns, is due to our portfolio of tier one assets, our financial discipline, and operating and commercial excellence. All of this is founded on an absolute commitment to integrity and safety.
Indeed, safety for us is fundamental to what we do. For any mining company, there can be few more visible and outward signs of operational capability and integrity, than safety. And our aim is to strive for a zero fatality work place, and maintain it.
Although the long term demand fundamentals for our commodities remain sound, the near term is more challenging.

But against this backdrop, Rio Tinto thrives. These dynamics only play to our strengths. It’s when our competitive advantages come into their own.

Our strategy of investing in long-life, low-cost, expandable assets means that we’ll continue to generate strong cash flows from our key commodities.

We also lead the industry in technology and innovation, which is a clear competitive advantage. Operating excellence will sustain our leading low cost position and drive our operations even further down the cost curve.

Our relationships with customers and partners have been built over many decades. Supplying high quality products, which have been developed to meet their needs, is the basis of our business. The strength of these relationships should not be underestimated.

Lastly, our strong and efficient balance sheet, gives us confidence in our ability to deliver sustainable returns throughout the cycle, and to continue investing in value-adding growth.
In Sydney, Harry Kenyon-Slaney set out the improvements that we have made in our Energy business.

This division is now leaner, more productive and more commercially-focused than ever.

The business has been re-engineered around a simple and clear objective of maximising sustainable value to shareholders.

Demand for energy from Asia is forecast to grow, and our businesses are well positioned to meet this demand. Coal remains the cheapest and most easily available source of energy. Increased environmental requirements favour our suite of higher quality products.

Our operations and marketing teams are delivering excellent results. Between 2012 and June 2014 Harry and his team have produced almost $800 million in cash cost savings. And further product development to meet customer needs has ensured the capture of additional premiums across our product range.
Last week Harry announced the increase in reserves at Hunter Valley. Considerable work has been done to leverage our prime position in the Hunter and to extract operating synergies from across existing mines, and potential new production capacity.

This will be done by running our mines, and the associated coal logistics network, as one system, which will improve efficiencies, offer greater value and be underpinned by our strong and enduring relationships with customers.

This work has already commenced, and will be our main focus in 2015.

The business environment for coal and uranium remains tough but the actions we have, and will be taking, are delivering the desired result of a leaner business that is positioned to deliver better results for shareholders when conditions improve.

**Slide 8 – Delivering significant value through technology and innovation**

Last week Greg Lilleyman set out the work that has been done in Technology and Innovation. Our capability in T&I is a clear competitive advantage, which is difficult for others to replicate. Operating excellence will sustain our leading low cost position and drive our operations even further down the cost curve.
The industry is increasingly faced with more complex geology, higher environmental demands and cost pressures.

Our commitment to technology and innovation will continue to set us apart from our competitors, and allow us to take advantage of opportunities that may not be available to others.

We are creating significant value by leveraging technology and innovation to deliver projects, improve productivity and introduce innovations.

With our driverless trucks improving utilisation by 14% and decreasing load and haul operating costs by 13% we know that we are on to something that can deliver substantial value.
Now let me turn to Iron ore. Since 1966, our Pilbara assets have generated an average EBITDA margin of 50 per cent. This is one of the most attractive businesses in the world. And I am not just referring to mining.

We’ve created a business that will generate strong returns at ALL points of the cycle.

Global demand for iron ore will continue to increase, and our view of long term pricing remains robust. We’re ideally placed to meet this demand, due to Pilbara 360’s exceptional combination, of low capital intensity and low operating costs.

With an IRR of around 40 per cent, and payback period of 5 years, this expansion is highly value-accretive.

Our investment is driven by maximising value, not by market share considerations. In fact over the past ten years, we’ve merely maintained our position at around 20 per cent of the seaborne trade.

The 360 development extends the cost advantage we have over our peers. Our all-in costs delivered to China are set to reduce to around $35 per tonne by 2020.
And at consensus prices, our Pilbara business generates strong returns, with an average EBITDA margin of 56 per cent from 2015 to 2019.

Iron ore is an open and competitive market, and we’re always aware of the supply and demand drivers of the industry. Our key objective, however, is to maximise value for shareholders as you’d all expect. And delivering 360 does exactly this.

**Slide 10 – Compelling project pipeline beyond iron ore**

Our iron ore projects are well documented. But we also have a compelling pipeline of near-term projects beyond iron ore.

By reinforcing capital discipline, we’ve reduced our capex to around $8 billion a year, whilst still retaining growth.

My team has spent a significant amount of time and effort, gaining greater line of sight as to how we invest each and every dollar for an even better return.

And in some cases, this means we’re reshaping our projects and undertaking value-engineering.
The pipeline is further enhanced by additional attractive options, including La Granja and Resolution and, of course, we also have Simandou.

Almost all of our projects have IRRs in excess of 20 per cent and collectively represent compound annual growth, in copper equivalent terms, of around 5 per cent out to 2019.

**Slide 11 – The Rio Tinto value proposition**

There is a clear focus behind everything that we’re doing. Our goal is to deliver strong and sustainable shareholder returns, and to continue to do, what we say we’ll do.

I look forward to saying more about shareholder returns in February, but for now let me hand over to Chris.
Thank you Sam

In these seminars last year, I set a net debt target of “mid-teens” and stated that reducing debt would be our focus for 2014.

This has been a very strong rallying point within the company and proved very successful.

I also talked about significant reductions in capital expenditure, which would create a base for generating enhanced growth in our cash returns to shareholders in future periods.

I also said that we would move to a more ratio-based net debt target going forward which would recognise balance sheet capacity as our equity base grows.

We will be using a range of ratios internally, but for public communication we will be using a net gearing ratio.

We define this as net debt divided by net debt plus book equity.

We would expect this ratio to operate within a range of 20 to 30 per cent.
We continue to believe that a strong balance sheet is essential in a long term industry such as ours, so you should expect us to stay in the bottom end of that range at the current point of the cycle.

We believe that this is the best way to ensure that our capacity to generate shareholder wealth is maintained. We also believe that our planned actions are consistent with a Single A credit rating, but, at the end of the day, we acknowledge that this is a matter for the rating agencies to opine on.

**Slide 13 – Our capital allocation framework maximises shareholder value**

Our capital allocation framework should, by now, be very familiar to you.

Our first allocation is to necessary sustaining capital, which we estimate at around $3 billion per annum for the next few years.

Next, comes our primary contract with our shareholders, the progressive dividend. The full year payments this year amounted to $3.7 billion. We expect this to increase in 2015 and the rate of increase will be decided by the Board and announced in February with our full year results.
We then enter the iterative cycle of compelling growth, debt reduction and further cash returns to shareholders.

Compelling growth will require around $5 billion per annum, all on strong returning projects. Not all of this has been approved at this stage, but that is our expectation.

We have no further need for debt reduction in 2015, having achieved our “mid-teens” target at the half year results this year.

So our focus in 2015 will be on further returns to shareholders.

This is delivering on what we said in the seminars last year, and reinforced at the half year results.

The quantum and form of these further returns will be decided by the Board and announced in the full year results presentation in February.

**Slide 14 – Focus on capital efficiency**

In the seminar last year we talked about significant reductions in capital expenditure

Having reduced by 26% in 2013 to $12.9 billion we now expect this year to be below $8.5 billion, a 34% reduction on last year.
As you can see, on the chart on the left, not all of the expected growth capital is formally approved as yet.

In each of the next 3 years, whilst we expect to spend at projected levels, opportunities still need to pass through robust decision making processes.

These levels of capital expenditure are not at the expense of growth. In the period from 2013 to 2019 we anticipate compound annual growth rates of copper equivalent units to average 5.2% per annum.

**Slide 15 – The Rio Tinto value proposition**

In summary, our world class asset portfolio is continuously being enhanced by productivity improvements, cost reductions and volume expansions. Our aim is to always be in the low portion of the cost curve.

In spite of having reduced our capital expenditure, we continue to invest in compelling growth.

Our cost savings have generated $4.4 billion by the half year and we have committed to a further $1 billion before the end of 2015.
We have strengthened our balance sheet by reducing net debt which came down by $6 billion in the 12 months ended 30th of June 2014. Our balance sheet is now very robust.

We have significantly reduced our capital expenditure profile. This year we now expect to spend less than $8.5 billion which is a reduction of more than 50% from the 2012 level.

All of the above actions enhance Rio Tinto’s capacity to generate free cash flows, and underpins our confidence for materially increased cash returns to shareholders both now and in the future.

With that, let me hand over to Andrew.

**Slide 16 – The world’s best iron ore business**
Thank you Chris.

Given that many of you will have seen my presentation from last week’s seminar in Sydney, I want to use just a few slides to reinforce the compelling value proposition of our Pilbara iron ore business.

I say compelling, because no matter which way you analyse the business, the clear conclusion is that it is world class and substantially value accretive:

- World class assets, with unencumbered optionality
- World class operating performance
- World class resources that will support quality product offerings
- World class specialist sales and marketing expertise
- And world class, leading edge application of technology and innovation

It is exactly ‘world class’ that we need to deliver the maximum amount of value to shareholders, across all market cycles.

Pilbara at 360Mt/a rests comfortably with our consideration of the longer-term demand for iron ore.
Our view remains that the developing world will continue to drive demand for iron ore, through urbanization, industrialization and increasing domestic consumption patterns.

On the supply side, we have already seen significant curtailments of iron ore supply from the Chinese domestic sector, as well as reductions from non-traditional suppliers such as Indonesia and Iran.

We expect around 125 million tonnes to leave the market this year in response to lower prices.

Yes, the present price compared to recent prices is depressed, but the value proposition of our iron ore business runs over decades, not today and not tomorrow

**Slide 18 – Pilbara – the world’s best iron ore business**

Rio Tinto’s Pilbara presently comprises 15 mines, 1,700 kilometres of rail and 4 independently operating ports and a self-contained power grid.

All are fully owned or managed and singularly used and operated by Rio Tinto which, among Pilbara producers, offers unique optionality.
As we prepare to supply one million tonnes of quality product each day, it is a system designed to seamlessly deliver to around 100 customers globally— with many, many differing requirements.

Its economics are most persuasive.

The Pilbara EBITDA margin has been industry— leading for some time and the first half 2014 margin of 66% is no exception.

A 2015 CFR China consensus price of $85/t would deliver an EBITDA margin of about 55%.

Going further forward, it is also our intention to remain positioned at the very low end of the 2020 contestable market cost curve.

On a China—delivered basis, we are targeting around $35/t unit cost.

First—mover advantage in technology and innovation has assisted in this regard, particularly with cost—outs and productivity improvements right across the supply chain.

And, in a world of increasing environmental constraints, strong steel—maker demand ensures the continued focus on our premium Pilbara Blend, representing approximately 70 per cent of the 2020 Pilbara portfolio.

We aim to produce Pilbara Blend from multiple high grade hematite/goethite deposits across the Pilbara, for many years to come.

The drive for value creation across the business is supported by an experienced executive management team with an exceptional track record.

In turn, quality people and a collaborative culture are ensuring that world class assets are performing as they should.
On a 100% basis, I would expect the full Pilbara expansions, from 220Mt/a to 360Mt/a, to be delivered at an industry-leading capital intensity of $110-120/t.

The infrastructure part of the 290 to 360mt/a programme is about 75% complete and generally in line with the nominated budget and 1H 2015 schedule.

This time last year we decided to embark on a rapid, low-cost growth pathway from existing mines, the likes of West Angelas, Brockman 2, Nammuldi and Yandicoogina.

This is in full swing, with around 40Mt/a approved and implemented, being achieved at an average mine production capital intensity of around $9/t.

The value proposition of brownfields, debottlenecking and productivity improvements has further implications for when and how we develop our next greenfields mines.

This includes Silvergrass, the mine which is required for the ultimate pathway to a larger volume business.
An investment decision on the development of Silvergrass, which has a cost of about one billion dollars, can again be deferred, until 3Q 2015 at the earliest.

This maximises free cash flow and optimises value in the business, including the maintenance of our production targets of 330mt in 2015 and 350Mt by 2017.

Slide 20 – Leveraging innovation and technology to drive productivity and cost leadership

Innovation and next generation systems and technology applications are significant value-drivers for our business.

We are creating value where others just can't.

By way of example:

You would have heard me speak previously about our autonomous truck fleet.

It is not just that it is the largest in the world; nor is it just operationally safer.

At the Hope Downs mine, autonomous haulage is exceeding manned effective utilisation by around 14%, with about a 13% decrease in load and haul operating costs.
Many low-cost capacity improvements are also being unlocked.

Over the last two years at Parker Point, for example, the total time taken to unload a train has decreased by 13%.

It is the result of a 35% reduction in the time taken to present trains to the dumper and a 9% reduction in the time taken to dump a train.

And sustainable cost management itself is embedded in our culture, with intense focus across operations, maintenance and planning.

At about $20/ t for our cash unit cost in 1H 2014, we are already where others hope to be in the future.

This is 11% lower than the first half of 2013.

For example, we’ve increased employee productivity this year, on a shipped tonnes basis, by 17%; we’ve reduced contractor costs by 4% year on year; and reduced by 20% year on year the use of external service providers and consultants.

Suffice to say that productivity and cost improvements remain a relentless quest in the overall drive for value generation.

The culture is strong and there are so many ‘pinch points’ across such a big system, the overall results can and will continue to be very marked.

And of course, we won’t stop here.
Slide 21 – Focussing on our customers and optimising our resource base

Competently managing such a great integrated asset base enables us to give our customers what they want, when they want it.

Much of the industry growth in seaborne supply during this year has been low-quality material, leading to increased discounting by some producers.

However, Rio Tinto’s focus has been, and will continue to be, on our premium Pilbara Blend, which allows us to optimise our business size, mine development, and production planning.

It also provides our customers with certainty of product quality over the long-term.

It is consistency of product quality that customers’ desire and a key reason why Pilbara Blend is the reference for 62% Fe indices.

Pilbara Blend Lump and Fines represent approximately 70 per cent of our Pilbara portfolio and, as with our Pisolite product offerings from the Pilbara, have had high levels of demand across varying market conditions.
We also continuously optimize our market placement through segmentation, as well as a targeted commercial contracting approach.

This provides us with not only mitigation of credit exposure, but allows us to do business with enterprises which, like us, are here for the long-term.

I am confident in saying that Rio Tinto is the supplier of choice to the Asian steel industry.

**Slide 22 – Capturing full value from our product suite and marketing expertise**

Let me outline the spot sales performance of our Pilbara Blend fines, relative to the Platts 62% Fe index, which is currently the starting point for the pricing of Rio Tinto products sold under long-term contracts.

Our spot sales on the right hand graph highlight that Pilbara Blend fines consistently achieve a premium over the Platts 62% Fe index.

To further illustrate that we capture full value from our product suite and marketing expertise, our analysis of public reports indicates that we received a higher average price than other Pilbara producers in the 1H 2014.
Long-term, meaningful relationships with steel mills remain paramount to our marketing strategy.

Rio Tinto’s contract portfolio focuses on:

- Diversification of markets and customer segments
- Matching products to segments that value them the most
- Ensuring full offtake, and
- Close management of credit exposures

We predominately ship to large, financially-stable steel mills with large off-take capability—Nippon Steel Sumitomo Metal Corporation, Baosteel, POSCO, and JFE, to name a few.

We listen to their needs and then closely integrate these with operational demands to ensure the optimal development of our ore bodies and the highest return for our shareholders.

I am confident that our customer relationships, our technical expertise, and our market insights, none of which are easily replicable, allows for the optimal placement of product, both in terms of price and volume.

And considering the volume we ship, and the volume we will ship, I am also confident that our marketing strategy is the most value accretive strategy for Rio Tinto.
The developing world will continue to drive the demand for iron ore.

Our number one business objective is to deliver the maximum amount of value to shareholders, which will continue through market cycles.

It is a business of compelling value:

- We have world class, fully integrated systems with unencumbered optionality
- Optimal investment pathways receive our regular and close attention, evidenced by the deferral of Silvergrass, in favour of brownfields, debottlenecking and productivity
- Our focus on customer relationships and requirements makes Rio Tinto the supplier of choice for Asian steel producers
- Our marketing expertise is capturing full value from our premium product suite and resource base
- Commitment to and application of technology and innovation is key to Rio Tinto’s competitive edge
- At $20.40/t our unit cash costs are already where others hope to be in the future
And the opportunity for further upside potential is both evident and large.

Thank you and over to you JS.

**Slide 24 – Creating a leading copper business**

Good morning everyone.

Since I was appointed to my role in February last year, I have been working with the team to simplify and strengthen our copper business to improve safety and maximize value for our shareholders.

A great deal of work has been done and today I will share with you some of our achievements. I will also outline the next steps on our journey to create a truly world class copper business based on the strength of our assets and our people. I am confident we are building a business that will be well positioned to make the most of the strong opportunities the copper industry has to offer.
We have made great progress in delivering our strategy of setting the copper industry benchmark for profitability. In the past two years, we have repositioned the copper portfolio, through divestments of non-core assets. By reducing our cost base and improving productivity across the group, we have built a more agile and competitive organization, one that is cash focused. In parallel, we have been working on driving a safer organization, focusing on fatality prevention. We have continued engagement with our partners and communities as we establish ourselves as a trusted partner.

In the coming years, we plan to build on these achievements, focusing on three areas:

**Firstly, improve earnings quality** - through ongoing cost improvements and productivity gains

**Secondly, exploit the next copper market cycle** – by developing our attractive brownfield growth opportunities such as KUC South Pushback and Oyu Tolgoi underground.
Thirdly, create world class long-term growth options – through a phased approach to developing our two greenfield projects, namely La Granja and Resolution.

By positioning the copper group as a low cost producer in the short and medium term, we can invest in attractive growth opportunities, gain a clear advantage versus our competitors and build a business model that creates value through the economic cycles.

Slide 26 – Delivering results: operating and commercial excellence drives earnings quality

Let me start by telling you more about the work we have done in the past two years to transform the Copper group. At the end of the first half of 2014, we:

- Increased our **EBITDA margin** from below 30% in 2012 to 40% today in a declining market and thus closing the gap with our competitors,

- We improved our **cost competiveness** from the fourth quartile on the industry cost curve to the third quartile.

- And became **free cash flow** positive for the first time in the three years.
We achieved these results by generating sustainable cost reductions of more than $800 million in 2013 and 2014. In parallel, we improved productivity in our core operations and, by centralizing and streamlining our support functions, delivered meaningful improvements in efficiencies and drove down costs. We also divested non-core operations for 1.8 billion dollars of cash proceeds.

In parallel, we have ramped up low cost operations and shipments at Oyu Tolgoi, which generated more than 1 billion US dollars in revenue in the first nine months of 2014.

As we improve the quality of our earnings, our focus on commercial and operating excellence will continue to serve us well and will give us a solid foundation for future growth.

**Slide 27- Production and market outlook**

As we move into 2015, the copper industry will continue to be over-supplied in the medium term which will drive continued volatility in prices. In addition, we do have other operational challenges to overcome in 2015.

Following the Manefay wall slide at Kennecott in 2013, we are implementing measures to mitigate the geotechnical risks on the East wall. Measures will include investment to
further unload the wall, accelerate dewatering activities, and use of temporary buttresses
to support the wall as mining progresses. We are confident in the safety and effectiveness
of the plan, but it will have a material impact on copper production guidance for next year.

At Oyu Tolgoi, we will maintain our sharp focus on performance and productivity so the
mine can deliver consistent rates at nameplate capacity and meet its 2015 plan.

With these challenges ahead of us, we will be more focused than ever on delivering
sound financial and commercial performance in the near term, and on preparing our
business to maximize the opportunities created by the market’s strong mid and long-term
fundamentals.

In the coming 10 years, global semis consumption will increase by more than 8 million
tones, at a rate of 2.3% per annum, net of substitution. This growth will be mostly driven
by China and other emerging markets as urbanization and industrialization continues at
pace. It is estimated that roughly one quarter of the demand increase will be met by scrap
and the rest will have to be met by an increase in mined copper.

On the supply front, bringing new mines online will remain challenging due to lower
grades, high capital intensity, increasing labor and energy costs, remoteness and water
scarcity, and new opportunities based in riskier jurisdictions. Given our internal
capabilities and commitment to leveraging technology and innovation, we are well placed
to take advantage of opportunities that may be closed to others.

In this context and according to Wood Mackenzie data, 3.3 million tonnes of additional
capacity will need to be brought online just for production to stand still and an additional
6.5 million tonnes of capacity to satisfy increased demand. As a result, we forecast a gap
of 10 million tonnes of capacity to meet the world’s growing demand for copper.

At this stage, there are around 1.4Mt of projects already under construction, meaning that
to close the gap, the industry will need to incentivize more than 8 million tonnes of new
capacity – the equivalent of bringing online 8 new mines the size of Escondida.

Following a few more years of over-supply, we expect to see the copper market
turnaround in 2018.
Rio Tinto Copper can leverage the market upturn by delivering value accretive brownfield projects – in particular, the KUC South Pushback project and the OT underground. Each has a compelling business case in the right investment environment.

- Our Kennecott South Pushback project will allow us to maintain Bingham Canyon’s current production profile through additional copper units. We expect to be in a position to make a final funding decision with this project in the first half of next year.

- Oyu Tolgoi has an attractive ore body and the underground development at the mine contains 80 percent of the value. Hugo Dummett underground deposit contains reserves of circa 500Mt at 1.66 percent copper equivalent. Discussions with the Government of Mongolia will continue once the new Cabinet is in place. The negotiations are taking some time, however it is important we get the investment settings right to maximise value for our shareholders and our partners, before we restart the underground development. We will build on the progress we have made so far with the new
Government to unlock the situation and resolve the four conditions required to restart the underground development. We must:

- Resolve all outstanding shareholder issues
- Finalise a comprehensive financing package
- Ensure the Feasibility study is approved by all parties
- Obtain all critical permits

These two projects will strengthen our cost competitiveness and move us down the cost curve from our current position. They will provide additional copper units required to leverage the next copper market cycle.

**Slide 29 – Create future options with world class greenfield projects in low-risk jurisdictions**

As we work hard on delivering solid performance in the short and medium term, we need to create options for future growth.
Our objective is to maximize value, while managing risk and capital exposure and preserving optionality. We will achieve this by prioritizing capital expenditure and by staging the development pathways of our high quality projects.

Let’s turn to our two world class greenfield options – La Granja & Resolution.

- Located in northern Peru, La Granja is the largest undeveloped greenfield copper project in Latin America. It has the potential to be a very large, long-life operation and one of the group’s highest value opportunities with expected production peaking at 500kt p.a. at a very low operating cost. The project strategy is focused on developing La Granja into a world class mine, in a risk-managed and phased way. We are managing social, environmental and technical challenges by addressing the risks first, starting small and preserving options to grow the project over time. We are confident that we will develop a commercially viable development pathway in 2015, creating value for our shareholders.

- Let’s now turn to Resolution. We are working to unlock the value contained in the world’s third-largest undeveloped copper resource. Once fully developed, the mine will achieve up to 600kt of copper per annum at a low operating cost, presenting an attractive long-term growth option in the United States. We are looking at options using a multi-phased approach. In parallel to the project shaping work, we have initiated the federal permitting process by submitting a General Mine Plan of Operations late in 2013. Just weeks ago, our shaft sinking crew completed sinking the first shaft. This is the deepest shaft ever sunk in the US and shows the type of long term commitment to the project. We are willing to invest in development over many years to bring high quality, long life copper assets into production.

Both these greenfield options have world class potential that would put us well ahead on the cost curve.
The copper industry has strong long term fundamentals and we have a clear strategy to navigate short term volatility and position our Copper group very well for profitability and cash flow generation.

As I mentioned we are focusing our efforts in three key areas:

- Maximizing value of our existing operations to strengthen profitability of the business and create solid foundations for the future
- Exploit the next copper market cycle by bringing online additional copper units
- Progressing our pipeline of greenfield growth options

This strategy is set to deliver value for many decades for our shareholders and will position Rio Tinto Copper as the most profitable business in the industry.

Thank you. We will now take a 15 minute break.
Welcome back, it’s great to see many of you again.

The Diamonds & Minerals product group is extremely well positioned to continue delivering increasing free cash flow, to benefit from favourable economic fundamentals and to be rewarded by our demand led operating philosophy.
Since 2012 we have transformed our business into an industry leading commercial organisation. We have aligned production to demand and restructured our cost base.

As a result, we have delivered significant value, so let me take you through some of the highlights.

We have reviewed both our cost and working capital including a complete review of the supply chain.

We have put actions in place and, since the start of 2013, we have taken over $600 million out of our cost base and have reduced working capital by around $270 million.

We have strengthened our business by implementing a more focussed capital expenditure programme, with an emphasis on cash generation. This has resulted in a reduction of almost $550 million in capex since 2012.

Going forward we are focussed on key sustaining projects and completing the projects we have underway, including the Argyle Underground Expansion and the A21 development at Diavik which was recently announced.

We are also progressing new, highly valuable opportunities with an IRR greater than 20%. These include feasibility studies for Zulti South and Simandou.

As a result, we have a set of strongly cash generative businesses. You can see that at the half year we had delivered an almost $1 billion uplift in free cash flow since 2012.
Our market-leading businesses are well positioned to grow margins in line with increasing demand for our products.

The longer term demand fundamentals are extremely strong.

This is supported by increased consumption spend driven by the emergence of the middle class in China and other emerging economies.

The total number of urban households in China is expected to increase by 100 million over the course of the next decade.

As our products are largely used in consumer and high-end industrial applications, we are geared to the rising wealth of emerging economies.
Over the next six years, we are expecting demand for each of our key products to exceed global GDP growth.

In TiO2, we are seeing some return to historical inventory levels and a recovery in developed market demand.

In zircon, as market conditions stabilise, we expect to see demand growth coming from a recovery in the traditional markets of Western Europe and China.

In borates, our business is well positioned to supply the rebounding US housing industry and to support urbanisation and agriculture in Asia.

In diamonds, we see sustained demand growth from both established and emerging markets, particularly from demographic shifts in China and India. The supply story is well known. There have been no tier one discoveries for 15 years and therefore demand is expected to outstrip supply for some time.
Our businesses are uniquely consumer and industrial driven in nature.
They are closer to end-use markets and are dependent on consumer discretionary spending.
We have a deep insight of our markets, which is used to formulate our operational plans and commercial strategies.
We are shaping our industries through research and development of new products and applications.
In 2013, we opened our Technology Centre in Suzhou, China, which allows us to work alongside our customers in our most prospective market.
Earlier this year, we opened a metal powders plant, also in Suzhou. This plant is ideally placed to supply high margin products for niche applications, particularly in the car industry.
For example, a recent innovation was the development of a biocide treatment, using borates. This treatment is used in wood components for US housing and other applications and extends the life of the product by many years.
We should not underestimate the significance of the industry changing market development for champagne diamonds and fashion jewellery and, of course, the
connoisseur market for the high value pink diamonds from Argyle. The overall prices of our signature pink diamonds have more than tripled since 2000.

Our work created fundamentally new segments of the diamond industry.

In TiO₂, we have the flexibility to supply either the chloride or sulphate markets, all with high grade products. We are evolving with the growing industry in China, working with new customers and establishing an efficient supply chain to serve new markets.

Our pricing is value based, derived from an in-depth value chain understanding. Where appropriate, we specialise our products, adding value to a commodity reference price.

For example, due to the specialist qualities and characteristics of our iron co-products, we have achieved significant premiums to the pig iron reference price, through segmentation and focussed marketing.

**Slide 36 – Demand-led operating philosophy**

We run our businesses with a demand-led operating philosophy in order to maximise value.

This means reducing production when we are not able to realise appropriate value for our products.

For example, over 2010 to 2012 in the zircon industry we saw a significant build of inventory downstream which resulted in much higher prices.
This led to significant substitution of zircon in ceramic applications, resulting in sharply lower demand from late 2012.

As you can see on the top chart, we reduced our output, which saved costs and preserved margins.

Other examples can be found in all our businesses.

We continue to defer the rebuild of furnace 5 at RTFT in Canada, and we are optimising our other furnaces to maximise production during periods of low cost power.

We continue to flex UGS production in line with market demand and have instituted temporary shut downs at Havre-Saint-Pierre and QMM throughout the year.

On the other hand, our diamonds business is enjoying favourable market conditions and we are increasing production. Carats from the Argyle underground will continue to increase and the A21 development will maintain supply from Diavik.

**Slide 37 – Cost and productivity improvements**

We are positioning ourselves to be the most competitive supplier by reducing cost, improving productivity and focussing our capital expenditure programme.

We have stripped considerable costs out of our business and expect to deliver a 15% decrease in cash operating costs since 2012.

We have also reduced labour by almost 2000 employees.
We are taking a “back to basics” approach with our operations, getting back to what we do best – running safe, reliable, efficient and process controlled businesses.

This includes ensuring our businesses are fully integrated and optimised across the value chain with a focus on quality and reliability.

Some really innovative thinking has been done by the teams in this area.

At Boron over the last 24 months, we have increased the effective utilization of our mine truck fleet by 44% through productivity improvements and dispatch optimization. This has allowed us to stand down 8 trucks, or 40% of our haul truck fleet and delay the purchase of any trucks by 2 years.

As I mentioned earlier we have also optimised our capital spend to improve free cash flow with a 50% reduction in capital expenditure since 2012.

We are also maintaining a number of early stage projects which will provide us with a robust portfolio of growth options as market fundamentals improve.

**Slide 38 – Compelling project pipeline**

In addition we are currently developing two highly value accretive, brownfield projects. Just last week we announced the development of the fourth pipe at Diavik known as A21. This development will provide an important source of incremental supply to maintain existing production levels.
A21 is estimated to cost US$350 million, with first production in 2018.

We are also continuing our feasibility study for Zulti South at Richards Bay, which we view as one of the most attractive undeveloped mineral sands assets in the industry.

It will allow us to maintain capacity at the low cost Richards Bay smelter.

If approved next year, commissioning at Zulti South is planned to be in 2017.

**Slide 39 – Delivering Simandou feasibility study**

Simandou is a truly world class resource.

It is a rich deposit of high grade iron ore with very little stripping and no processing.

Signing the Investment Framework was a great milestone which formally establishes the separation of the mine and the infrastructure.

We will focus on the development of the mine, and to bring in third parties to own and finance the infrastructure.

Before any capital allocation decisions can be made, we must complete the feasibility study to establish the capital estimates, construction period and technical requirements of the project.

In addition to progressing the feasibility study, activities to assemble a consortium of infrastructure investors are underway.

Let me finish with a few words on Ebola.
Ebola is a critical issue for Guinea which has had tragic human consequences. Our priority has been the health and safety of our employees, contractors and their families. We have been supporting the Government of Guinea and international organisations and have donated more than US$3.4 million worth of equipment and in-kind donations to facilitate the response.

**Slides 40 – Extremely well positioned to continue delivering increased free cash flow**

In summary, the Diamonds and Minerals product group is a diverse portfolio of sector leading businesses which is delivering value for shareholders. The businesses will generate significant cash for our dividend over the coming years. By implementing a demand led operating philosophy we are creating agile and reliable businesses that are profitable throughout the cycle. Through research and development of new products and applications we are reshaping the industries in which we operate. We have streamlined and simplified these businesses with a relentless focus on sustainable, continuous improvement which is having real impact on cash flow generation. As a result, we are positioned to generate a significant cash uplift for the group going forward.
Thank you and with that over to you Alf.

**Slide 41- The world’s best aluminium business**

Thank you, Alan, and good morning everyone.

I am very pleased to be here in London today to give you some insights on how we will continue transforming Rio Tinto’s aluminium product group to strengthen our position as the world’s best aluminium business.

When I joined six months ago, I was struck by the quality of the assets, the quality of the people and the momentum in this business.
In the last few years, we have made significant progress transforming the business by driving out costs, improving productivity and restructuring the portfolio.

At the end of the first half of this year, costs in the business had been reduced by $802 million dollars compared to 2012, and this is excluding the impact of the portfolio changes.

Productivity improvements and creep initiatives have also played an important role in the transformation of our business. In the bauxite export business, we have increased exports from 12 to 20 million tonnes per year since 2011, becoming a major player in the Chinese seaborne market. This has been done through creeping at our Weipa mine and the transition of Gove to an export bauxite operation earlier this year.

From a portfolio perspective, the product group has closed, curtailed or divested over one million tonnes of smelting capacity since 2009 – a reduction of 25 per cent. On the alumina side, three million tonnes of capacity have been removed from the portfolio - a reduction of 33 per cent.

The team’s efforts have resulted in material improvements to the cost position of our smelting portfolio where around 80 per cent of our smelters will be in the first quartile in
2015 following the re-commissioning of Kitimat. This compares with 40 per cent back in 2011.

**Slide 43- and increasing our margins**

These are significant achievements which are a testament to the world-class people in the aluminium business, with deep operational and technical expertise and a strong commitment to safety as an overriding priority.

The transformation of the business and improvement of the portfolio has allowed the product group to increase its margins and stand out from its peers in the upstream aluminium industry.

This positions us very well to capitalize on improving market fundamentals.
Demand growth for aluminium is expected to increase at four per cent per year through 2025. This is supported by growing intensities in many applications – most notably the transport sector where manufacturers are planning to use significantly more aluminium in the future.

On the supply side, global markets are expected to be in deficit in 2014 and later years, which will lead to a progressive reduction of inventory levels back down to seven to eight weeks, in line with pre-global financial crisis levels.

Consensus estimates suggest that this will provide a strong foundation for sustained price uplift, building on what we have seen this year.

The recent price uplift has been driven by the LME and the market premiums, which have continued to increase sharply, reflecting the tightness in the market. The current “all-in” price is around $2,500 per tonne, in line with the most recent consensus prices for 2015.

Let me also emphasize that we sell approximately two thirds of our metal in value added form, capturing an attractive product premium on top of the all in price.

I would like to make a brief comment about the attractive Chinese import bauxite market which is set to increase by over 100 million tonnes in the next 15 years, an expected growth rate of 8 per cent per year. This significantly outpaces the growth of aluminium, as demand continues to grow and domestic reserves are depleted and grades decline.
In summary, the improving market conditions provide an attractive backdrop for Rio Tinto’s aluminium group moving forward.

**Slide 45- Clear focused strategy – bauxite and first quartile smelter are key pillars**

Let me now move to our new strategy. In the next few years, we will be transforming our business around our two key pillars, our industry leading bauxite assets and world class first quartile smelting position.

We intend to operate with a clear focused strategy where each of the three businesses in the aluminium group – bauxite, alumina, and aluminium – will be managed separately and each has a specific role to play.

In our bauxite business we will now focus on driving market-paced growth, as well as, continuing to drive performance improvements in our current operations.

In our smelting business we will reduce our cost position further, by driving performance and portfolio improvement, but with an enhanced focus on free cash flow generation and return on capital employed.

For alumina, we have a cautious view in the short term. As such, we are focused on our balanced alumina supply position, where our objective is simply to drive operational excellence providing competitive alumina supply security for our first quartile smelter portfolio.
These three separate businesses will be supported by a single strong global Commercial organization focused on maximising value from mine to market.

Finally, as Sam mentioned last week, when we release our full year results in February, for the first time we’ll report the performance of these businesses separately, which I know many of you are keen to see.

The ultimate goal is to further strengthen our position as the world’s best Aluminium business, delivering industry-leading performance through the cycle.

**Slide 46 – Leading bauxite position driving value-accrative growth**

Let’s take a closer look at the first of our two core pillars. In bauxite we will leverage our tier one asset position to drive value accretive growth.

As you can clearly see on this chart, Rio Tinto has the largest bauxite position, with interests in three of the world’s largest bauxite mines, and with outstanding growth options. These options include active development projects, favourably situated to supply China’s significant future bauxite import needs, as well as the potential demand growth in the Middle East.

These growth options, located in Australia, Guinea, and Brazil could allow us to more than double our current global production, of 41 million tonnes per year, in the next ten to fifteen years.
For example, the Cape York Peninsula, in northern Queensland, Australia, where we have our current East Weipa and Andoom mines, holds 1.5 billion tonnes of bauxite reserves and 2.0 billion tonnes of resources - a long life, low cost, expandable asset, wholly owned by Rio Tinto.

This asset is well located for the Chinese market and this enormous resource offers a wide variety of development options and pathways, with low first-quartile operating costs and EBITDA margins in excess of 50 per cent.

With the curtailment of the Gove alumina refinery, Rio Tinto aluminium is already the largest player in the Chinese seaborne bauxite market. Today we export the equivalent of 20 million tonnes per year from Weipa and Gove. In the last two quarters we have supplied more than 50 per cent of the bauxite imports into China.

As you would expect we are leveraging our commercial capabilities to establish Cape York bauxite as the product of choice for the China seaborne market with consistent quality, security of supply, and strong technical marketing support.

**Slide 47 – South of Embley is project is a Tier 1 investment opportunity**

As you can see from this comparative map, which has been superimposed on the UK, to show the scale, our Cape York resource offers remarkable growth options, and given the market opportunities, we are now prioritizing the South of Embley project.
With mining costs in the first quartile and with attractive returns, this is a Tier 1 investment opportunity.

The project includes mine, port and infrastructure elements, with a planned initial output of 22.8 million tonnes per year and options, utilizing the same infrastructure, to later expand up to 50 million tonnes.

We are working through the feasibility study and we are targeting first production in 2018. Rio Tinto’s T&I team, led by Greg Lilleyman, is deeply involved in the project and will be responsible for execution. We will therefore benefit from the replication of best practices and mining expertise from the Pilbara expansion programmes.

We are working to bring South of Embley to the board for approval in 2015 and are preparing to start early works as soon as a decision is made. For example, we are already doing geotechnical and environmental studies, and pre-mine drilling.

**Slide 48- Low-cost smelting business driving cash generation**

I will now shift to our second core pillar - our sector leading low-cost smelting business.

Rio Tinto operates one of the largest, most modern and lowest-cost smelter portfolios in the industry.

Around 80 per cent of our smelters will be in the first quartile of the aluminium cost curve with the re-commissioning of Kitimat which is on track for the first half of next year.
Around 80 per cent of our power comes from low carbon sources, and 50 per cent of our power needs comes from self-generated low cost hydro power, most notably in Canada where we are nearly self-sufficient through our wholly owned hydro power stations. This is a strong distinctive competitive advantage in an increasingly carbon-constrained world.

Let me be clear that our first quartile positioning is key to our smelting strategy. 20 per cent of our smelters are still not in the first quartile and they will need to have a clear pathway to get there going forward. If not we will explore other value accretive options for our shareholders.

In the short-term we will have a relentless focus on free cash flow generation and return on capital employed. Operational excellence will drive continued cost and productivity improvements, disciplined use of sustaining capex and working capital reduction.

Our technology position will support low-capital, high-return creeping projects like the Alma creep project, which we recently announced in Canada.

Our Commercial organisation will be focused on enhancing revenues through supply chain optimisation, contracting and pricing, and product and market development allowing us to continue delivering value-added product and services to our customers.

Finally, in the longer-term, we also have tangible brownfield growth options in Canada, on available low-cost hydro power. For example, the expansion of our AP60 smelter which is built on the latest technology, low carbon platform. Development of these options will be conditional upon strong returns and market conditions.
In summary, going forward we will be transforming our aluminium business around our tier 1 bauxite and smelting positions.

Market conditions are improving and we are well positioned to capitalize on this momentum.

We now have a clear focused strategy for each business.

Our strategic focus on bauxite is on market-paced growth by developing a pipeline of potential projects, starting with South of Embley.

In the case of our smelting business, in the next few years, our focus will be on cash generation and increasing returns through the cycle.

On alumina, we will focus on our balanced position, driving operational excellence to provide competitive security of supply to our first quartile smelters.

Our shareholders can and should expect Rio Tinto’s aluminium product group to deliver industry-leading performance through the cycle and we are well placed to deliver strong and growing cash returns in the years to come.

Now back to you Sam.
Thank you Alf.

Over the past week we have looked at each of our product groups, and set out how we are creating sustainable value and cash returns for our shareholders.

We have a world class asset portfolio. When you look at their EBITDA margins, the quality and longevity of their resources and reserves, and their potential for expansion and growth, there is no question that these assets will generate sustainable returns for decades to come.

Our focus is on tier one, long life, low cost, expandable assets. This provides a firm foundation for sustainable returns. Our skills of operating excellence and technical marketing are ideally placed to maximise the value of this asset base.

Careful investment means that in spite of our reduction in capital expenditure, we continue to invest in quality projects, and we expect to achieve average compound copper equivalent growth of over 5% between 2013 and 2019.

Operating safely is fundamental to what we do – and there are few more visible signs of integrity and capability.
Operational and commercial excellence runs through the entire organisation. We have established a leading position in how we use technology and innovation to deliver more tonnes, with less cost. This has created material value and these gains are not just one-offs. They flow through to the bottom line every year. And when you run multi-decade assets, that is considerable value.

Last year, we said that in 2014 we’d focus our efforts on net debt reduction, and we’ve done exactly that. We’ve achieved our ‘mid teens’ target. Our balance sheet is strong and ideally suited to the current economic environment, and protecting shareholders.

The strengthening of the balance sheet has been assisted by disciplined allocation of capital. Our first allocation of capital is for sustaining capex, but next comes our primary contract with shareholders, the progressive dividend. Every dollar we spend must be spent wisely and in the interests of our shareholders. Our focus in 2015 will be on materially increasing returns to shareholders.

With our suite of tier one assets, strong financial position, our consistent margins and our operating and marketing capability, we believe that we have all the levers in place to ensure strong free cash flow generation.

As I mentioned earlier, there is a clear focus behind everything we do. Our goal is to deliver strong and sustainable shareholder returns. Looking forward, we expect to generate strong free cash flow, and we remain committed to materially increasing cash returns to shareholders. And I truly look forward to announcing this at our annual results in February next year.

But for now over to you for questions.
Q&A

SAM WALSH (Group Chief Executive):

Thank you. And with that I would like to pass it to you for questions; if you could tell us who you are and who you represent. I’ll take three in the room and from cyberspace I’ll take three, assuming they are out there. Rob Clifford, you have to be number one. It’s sort of a rule, it’s a tradition now.

ROB CLIFFORD (Deutsche Bank):

Thanks for that. Yes, it’s Rob Clifford, Deutsche Bank. Two questions on the operations. Just on the copper pull-back for next year, 60,000-70,000 tonnes, probably Escondida, that we were told about last week, does that mean you are looking at 20,000-30,000 back out of Kennecott next year or have you got something else going on at Grasberg and OT that you could tell us about?

SAM WALSH:

Jean-Sébastien, this sound like this is yours.

JEAN-SÉBASTIEN JACQUES (Chief Executive, Copper)

Could be. So we have provided this our guidance for next year. It’s primarily driven by Kennecott. As I have explained, we need do more work to make sure that we manage the property where there is a geotechnical risk on the East Wall. I won’t give you any breakdown by asset, but to answer your question it probably about Kennecott next year.
So OT will continue to ramp up. We had a challenging Q1, as you know, so what we expect, as I indicated, is OT to operate at nameplate capacity.

Escondida, well you have the guidance from the BHP, so it is year of two halves, as you know, with the drop in grade in the second half. But the key driver for next year is Kennecott, as I mentioned.

SAM WALSH:

Thank you J-S. Is there another question in the room? Rob, I can come back to you later. Yes, perhaps in the front here.

MENNO SANDERSE (Morgan Stanley):

Good morning, it is Menno Sanderse at Morgan Stanley. This question has two parts. Is there a little bit of risk that Rio Tinto sounds like a politician? You are promising a lot, a progressive dividend which goes up a lot, $8 billion of capex and a balance sheet that stays at the lower end of 20-25 per cent. That’s interesting, but on spot commodity prices if we all do our models there ain’t no cash or not enough cash to do all of that. So two parts to the question: One, do you think we have our numbers wrong? Two, what gives within that equation, i.e., would you creep up to over 25 per cent and still do the capex or would you cut the capex to less maybe?

SAM WALSH:

Look, far be it from me to say that you have got your numbers wrong. I would not be silly enough to say it, however there might be a few areas where you can fine-tune.
I think to truly understand the business you need to take everything that we’ve said into account in terms of what we have been doing in terms of reducing our costs, reducing our capital - we somehow didn’t mention working capital but it is something which is very dear to me heart and I think a number of you in your reports have indicated we must have something up our sleeve there – and sort of all of this is wrapped in together.

We had a board meeting last week and I deliberately put to the board, hey, I want to comment or make the statement that we are going to materially increase our shareholder returns. I did not want it to be a Sam Walsh statement, I wanted it to be a commitment from the board and that’s what I have got. You can sort of look at your model and what-have-you, but we are in a good position and we are in a good position going forward.

As I commented, everybody seems to be focusing on sort of what’s happening to Q3 or Q4 businesses but they don’t actually realise that it is in times like this that Rio Tinto does actually thrive, it is in times like this where the large low cost expandable businesses this is where we come into our own and it is gives us a strong position. Chris, I’m not sure whether you want to add anything to that?

CHRIS LYNCH (Chief Financial Officer):

Menno, I think the statement has been made, we are quite comfortable with it, and unfortunately it will be a February announcement. But you’ll see it will be a much better conversation enlightened by facts.

SAM WALSH:
Yes, that’s true. Another question – just behind you.

MILES ALLSOP (UBS):

It’s Miles Allsop at UBS. I always kind of like listening to Alan talking about aligning production with demand. Do you think you’ll ever allow Andrew to start talking about aligning production to demand because obviously iron ore is heavily oversupplied and it looks like it will be heavily oversupplied for three or four years and it is a pretty consolidated market?

SAM WALSH:

Look, I will let Andrew comment, but it has been a deliberate strategy that we have taken in the Diamonds & Industrial Minerals business where we are very substantial players and we do have a direct impact on the market.

In the iron ore area we are the low cost producer. It’s a very privileged position and some people think we’ve got there through luck or accident or what-have-you; it’s actually not. Over decades there has been a huge amount of work in terms of positioning the business, in terms of our industrial relations strategy, in terms of our investments, in terms of use of technology and innovation to position the business. As I mentioned in my part of segment, we do generate an IRR of 40 per cent and a payback of five years.

The truth of the matter for us to have an impact on the market, you’re probably going to have to take off 100 million tonnes, and guess what happens the moment you’ve taken 100 million tonnes? You see a bit of a price blip and then you see the 32 high cost producers come back in the market and, guess what, they grab the 100 million tonnes,
and then the price goes back to where it was. So you come out of that with actual lower volume, lower cash and you’ve just strengthened your competition.

It is something, as I mentioned, there was a sentence in my comments, that we are aware of market forces but your question really needs to be focused on high cost producers, those who quite frankly are struggling right now rather than the low cost, lowest cost producer, in the industry. Andrew, did I miss anything there?

ANDREW HARDING (Chief Executive, Iron Ore):

No. Sam, I give you 10 out of 10 for that response. The only thing I would add to that is as sort of executive summary, our decision to continue with the volume growth that we have currently got indicated, is simply about maximising value for the shareholder and it is based on an understanding of the supply side and an understanding of the demand side of the business that is better than anyone else in the world. It’s simply that.

SAM WALSH:

Well, in case you did notice it, we did announce the deferment of the Silvergrass project and that will be deferred until at the earliest Q3 next year. That’s a billion dollars of capital that Andrew and his team have been able to save us from putting an upfront payment on with further productivity improvements and efficiency improvements.

Another question? We have one here. It looks like all the good questioners sit up the front.

HEATH JANSEN (Citi):
It's Heath Jansen from Citi. Just staying with iron ore, can you give us some details in terms of the assumptions that you are looking at, obviously trying to drop your costs down to I think what it was, the $35 a tonne level by 2020? Can you just sort of give us a breakdown of what you are assuming in terms of mining and particularly freight costs in that number?

Then obviously to Sam's comment about your payback periods that you are quoting in terms of 40 per cent IRR and five year payback, is that just on consensus prices that you basically using for that analysis?

**ANDREW HARDING:**

Back in 2012 an announcement was made around an aspirational target of $35.50 when Sam was in charge and then when he became CEO, he rounded it down for me, and that was the target for 2020. I am not going to go into the detail of how that’s broken up, but what I will say is that between the $47 in 2012 and the $35.50 we are approaching the $40 mark at this moment in time.

So we are on that path. As anyone would know, the early stages of cost savings are held to be easier than the latter stages, but we are substantially well set up to continue down the pathway. What has struck me in the last year is it is not just the fact that we have got an automation project here or an automation project there but we’ve actually got quite a capacity, sort of like a cluster of knowledge around automation, which is allowing us to actually drive some significant improvements into the future.

And it won’t just be about automation, we have got a great cost focused culture. It is not one where we rely on third-party consultants to come in and drive an unsustainable
couple of year programme that whacks costs fairly quickly – I mean it does and there is plenty of evidence for that to happen – but cost saving in the iron ore business it’s a line of accountability like safety.

So from the top of the organisation to the bottom of the organisation one of the things that you bring by coming to work every day is a focus on actually reducing our costs, so we are totally oriented to that goal, and we are bringing the technology and automation aspects as well to make sure that we’ve got a good chance of actually achieving the aspirational goal.

HEATH JANSEN (Citi):

And the actual assumptions?

ANDREW HARDING:

The numbers in the book rely on the consensus pricing pack at the back.

SAM WALSH:

Okay, let’s take a question from the phone line.

OPERATOR:
Ladies and gentlemen, to ask your question please press star 1 on your telephone keypads. We will take our first question from Luc Pez of Exane BNP. Please go ahead.

LUC PEZ (Exane BNP):

Hi gentlemen, one question if I may with regard to the South of Embley expansion project. Could you quantify the capex associated with the different options you were discussing during the presentations? Thank you.

SAM WALSH:

Alf, over to you. I think Alf deliberately did not refer to the capital, but Alf why don’t you have a go?

ALF BARRIOS (Chief Executive, Aluminium):

Thank you, Luc, for the question. The South of Embley is a number one project and, as I mentioned, we are accelerating the feasibility study. At the moment we are in feasibility study and we will be bringing up to the board for approval in 2015. So once we can complete the feasibility study and the board approves it we will be in a better position to be able to provide more information on the South of Embley project. At this moment in time we are in feasibility study and, as you can imagine, we are still working through the details.

SAM WALSH:
Thanks Alf. I’d mentioned that we are looking at scope and value engineering of projects and the South of Embley is one of those projects. So at the moment it is still going through, the final part of that.

Do we have another question on the phone?

OPERATOR:

There are no questions at this time.

SAM WALSH:

Okay. Well, if the phone people could put their thinking caps on, we will take one about half way down the room. I will get the chance to get to all of you, so don’t panic.

TIM HUFF (RBC):

It’s Tim Huff from RBC. Thanks very much. Just two questions, one a follow up on copper, KUC. You’ve mentioned that there are three points for remediation that is a constraint to production in 2015, but you’ve also mentioned that you need to do more work on that. Is there a potential here for any one of those points for remediation to carry on into 2016 and constrain production then as well?

And the second question is actually a follow up on Heath’s, the 40 per cent IRR, obviously you are doing that off consensus. When you run a range of different scenarios on 360 what was your downside IRR on that project? And obviously it is very specific, but there is going to be an enormous range given where the iron ore price has traded recently but I
was wondering, even if you couldn’t give us the number, if you gave us some thoughts around that? Thank you.

SAM WALSH:

Okay. Well, J-S, if you could handle the first one on KUC.

JEAN-SÉBASTIEN JACQUES:

Yes, thank you for the question. We are re-running the mining plan as we speak, so we have good visibility on 2015 and some more guidance today. Depending on the performance that we will achieve next year we will be able to adjust the mining plan for 2016 accordingly, so it is hard to forecast exactly what will be the outcome of the performance next year. The only thing I can say is clearly we will try to minimise the copper contraction as much as we can, so if you can bear with us for a few months I will be in a much better place in 6 months down the road to answer the question.

But I think it is important for people to understand what we did when the slide happen 18 months ago. The decision was made to re-start as quickly as we could, and having safety was priority number one for obvious reasons, and we were able to re-start within five weeks. The idea behind that is bring as much copper unit as we can and bring as much cash as we can on the balance sheet.

But we were very clear that at some point in time there would be a copper contraction because we need to move some waste material and we reach a point that in order to maintain the safety and the integrity of the pit we are going to have to move some waste next year. As you know, we are constrained in terms of earth permits and therefore next year we are constrained in terms of copper production.
I think, I believe, it was the right decision to re-start as quickly as we could in order to benefit from the higher copper prices that we have enjoyed. I mean, everything is relative for this reason, but the good copper prices that we have enjoyed over the last 18 months I think it was the right decision from the shareholders’ standpoint. But here we have no choice, we need to do some work to protect the integrity of the pit. So a long answer – 6 months down the road happy to answer your question again.

SAM WALSH:

Thanks J-S. Andrew, if you could talk about downside scenarios?

ANDREW HARDING:

Yes, okay. Well look, the invitation was about some thoughts around 360, on the downside. I suppose one of the thoughts we have is that there will be growth in iron ore globally over the long-term, so decade in and decade out there is still a vast number of people that are living in poverty and will move towards urbanisation. That’s a very long-term trend, okay, but clearly at the moment there are some short to medium term trends which are quite evident.

I was in China only two weeks ago. It is very obvious in talking to many of the economists etc and advisers that China is in transition, absolutely without a doubt. The leadership say that regularly. It took some time I think for people to start to respond to the leadership statements about moving towards a sustainable economy and it will still take some time for very real evident behaviour to occur in things that are obvious like the air quality etc – I mean that’s not going to be an overnight solution but I am pretty sure that’s actually happening in the short-term.
As well as that, it is obvious there are some big supply projects that are coming on through this year and next year, for example, and there is no reason that the people that have committed to those, because they are quite credible players, that you won’t see that continuing to happen.

We model all of those sort of things when we make a decision and state that something is highly attractive and continues to be value-accretive, so we think we have got a realistic projection. I’ve been asked before, is the consensus price pack sort like a model for it and that sort of stuff? And I’m not going to answer that question, but we are not necessarily unusual in any scheme of things compared to others.

As far as how far would it have to actually go, obviously I’m not going to put a number on it, but I would have to say that it would be extraordinarily low before the NPV was zero, extraordinarily low, and most of the cost curve would disappear and you would be left with damage through just about everybody before this is a bad decision.

SAM WALSH:

Yes, thanks Andrew. If I could just add to that? Of course when we look at a project we assess a project over the life of the project, so we don’t base it on what’s actually happening in the market and what the spot price is today or tomorrow. It’s over the long-term and we calculate our valuation models on the basis of that and for the record we have not revised that long-term price this year.

If I could just also comment in terms of Andrew’s remarks about the move to a consumption-led economy, I attended the APEC meeting in China recently and President Xi opened the conference. He made the comment that China has actually already
moved to 48 per cent consumption-based economy. The figure actually quite surprised me because we have been talking about this like it’s a sort of long-term thing and 10 years from now we’ll see considerable consumption. Well, it’s actually already happening and during the past 12 months the economy has moved from 37 per cent consumption as a percentage of GDP to that 40 per cent number, and it’s interesting that transition is already happening.

Now some people think, well, a move to consumption led economy, that’s not going to be good. Well, it actually continues to be good for our industry and for the commodities that we supply. You can’t build a refrigerator without putting in our commodities, you can’t build a washing machine, you can’t build a car, all of the sorts of consumer-led goods are actually going to need what we supply. So there is a strong ongoing story there.

Another question here - yes? We’ll move back in the room so start thinking.

DOMINIC O’KANE (JP Morgan):

Hi, it’s Dominic O’Kane from JP Morgan. Just two quick questions, the first on copper. The guidance you’ve given for 2015 made the assumption that OT is operating at nameplate capacity. I have seen some reports about a fire at OT and I was just wondering if you could comment on, is that a near term risk, is that a risk to the guidance for next year?

And then the second question just in iron ore. I wonder if you could maybe give some comments on what you are seeing in lump premiums over the last three to four months since the results?

SAM WALSH:
Thanks Dominic. J-S, if you could start?

JEAN-SÉBASTIEN JACQUES:

If I start with the OT situation, you are right, there was a fire yesterday in the concentrator area. First of all, I have to say that the rescue team did intervene very quickly to put the fire under control and none of our colleagues were injured. The situation as of today is the following: as you will know, the building has been sealed and none of our teams have access to the building. It may take a few days before we have got access to the building and therefore can really assess the level of damage.

At the same time, let’s be clear, the shipments to our customers have not stopped. It could have an impact on the production for December. So until we have got access for obvious reasons, until we have got access to the building, it would be difficult to assess the level of damage.

Now to answer your question about the guidance, subject to accessing and assessing the level of damage, from the real standpoint because we have got only 33 per cent of the output, I do not believe it will have any material impact on the guidance that was provided today. Now, as I said, until we have got full access to the site I won’t be able to clarify for sure, but I’m cautiously optimistic it won’t have any impact whatsoever for us next year.

SAM WALSH:

It appears that the fire has impacted on one of the cyclones – we have four cyclones. Okay, Andrew, on lump premium. Gee, I’m pleased you’re here.
ANDREW HARDING:

With lump premiums I suppose if you look at it from a long-term driver, or medium to long-term driver, the key thing that we are looking at is the environmental concerns in China and you see the same thing sort of paralleling in pellets that are imported into the country. The opportunity to not have as much pollution in the country is obviously going to be a big driver and the opportunity to get your lump from outside the country, because of the impact of sintering for example is going to be something that we said, parallel to I think and also why low grade material will be attractive on a medium to long-term basis.

What we have seen, I suppose going back 6 months ago, you saw the lump premium coming under quite a bit of pressure and you’ve probably noticed that it bounced back quite substantially in recent months, and I would expect that is something we will see on a more sustainable basis going forward.

SAM WALSH:

Thanks Andrew. One more question in the room and then I’ll go back to the phone lines. Yes, a question in the middle of the room.

TONY ROBSON (Bank of Montreal):

Thank you. It's Tony Robson, Bank of Montreal. Maybe a follow up to Menno's question on political promises and so on. If and when in terms of the capital return for 2015, would that be only on your net free cash flow, your expectations of that for next year, or would you be prepared to dip into your very strong balance sheet? That's the
first part. And the second part is the perennial question of stock buy-backs versus special dividend. Thank you

SAM WALSH:

Chris, this sounds as if it is right up your alley.

CHRIS LYNCH:

Okay. I think, as I said earlier on, we’ll have an absolute cash flow position as at the end of the year and so on and the February results will educate that into the market place. We have both cash generation and balance sheet capacity but the intent will be to stay within that lower part of that range that I have articulated today, in the 20-30. At the half we were about 22 per cent on that metric, we’ll stay in that low end of the range, so it will be a mixture of both cash generation and the balance sheet capacity if it’s there.

But I think just to address these political promises, there is a big difference. We said $3 billion of cash costs, we got $3.2 billion at the half, we said we’d reduce capital and we have taken it down by 26 and 30-odd per cent, so 50 per cent below where we were in 2012, we said we would reduce net debt, we took it down by $6 billion in the year ended 30th June and I think there is a little bit more. I don’t see many politicians with that sort of track record. But to your point, I think we will be looking at – what we look at is what’s best for this Company and its shareholders and that’s our main driver in that process.

SAM WALSH:

Chris, there is a second part of the question about buy-backs.
CHRIS LYNCH:

Ah sorry, okay. So the first question is the quantum and that is a question for the board which will be made, as we have said for the last 12 months pretty consistently, that will be made in February with the review of the Annual Results and that board meeting, so both form and quantum.

But the options for us – the first is the commitment to the underlying dividend and then beyond that further returns will be a concept of the board to decide on. In the DLC structure obviously if there were to be buy-backs there are several options there about which way that would go, but that’s a question, both quantum and form will be a decision made by the board in February and announced with the Full Year Results.

SAM WALSH:

But there is an important point there that we haven’t ruled out anything. Someone from the seminar in Sydney sort of picked up that we were ruling out buy-backs. We are not ruling out anything, it is a decision for the board. The timing of the seminars is a little bit frustrating because we’re sort of heading towards the end of the year and there are all sorts of things that unfortunately we can’t tell you or else we will all go to jail, but the board has had line of sight. And let me just again clearly reiterate, the board gave me the all clear to say that we will materially increase our shareholder returns.

Now if I could put my hands in the Gods, do we have something from cyberspace? No, okay. We’re back in the room and we have one there.
JAMES GURRY (Credit Suisse):

Thanks. It’s James Gurry here from Credit Suisse. Can you just mention, perhaps Andrew specifically, what the benefit of the falling oil price would be to the business? I appreciate the sensitivity in the back of the pack, but perhaps in iron ore can you point out what impact it has on operating costs and the freight rates for delivering the iron ore to the key markets?

SAM WALSH:

Andrew.

ANDREW HARDING:

Yes, okay. So a public number on freight rates for Western Australia to China, the bunker fuel would be down from, say, 600 to 420 or 430 this week. That would translate into about $1.50 a tonne, so it is not unsubstantial at all; it is quite strong. From an actual diesel use in the Pilbara, well, we use considerably more than half a billion litres a year, so you can figure that one out.

SAM WALSH:

Okay. Another question in the room?

HUNTER HILLCOAT (Investec):
Hi, it's Hunter Hillcoat from Investec here. Just two questions and one I think is for Alf.

Aluminium premiums, they gone from being a positive nuisance factor to something that may last for quite some time by all indications, so if you could just give us your thoughts on that?

And then I guess 'an elephant in the room' that has not been mentioned, is does the spectre of a predator have any bearing on the shareholder return considerations or is that just a 'furphy'?

**SAM WALSH:**

Okay, let's start with aluminium premiums – Alf.

**ALF BARRIOS:**

The way we look at it is we are more interested in the all-in price, the LME plus the aluminium and plus the premium. And you are right, the premiums have been going up this year significantly, but for us what's importance is the price we get for our product which is the all-in price, and whatever way that is going to move at the end of the day it's the total price we are interested in, and I think the consensus of the total price is what people are more or less aligned. So we don't really get into the details or trying to get concerned about the individual elements, we are more interested in the all-in price.

I have said all that about the price, but I think the way we are working on the strategy at the moment and what I have said before clearly indicates that we are driving the business for a return to the cycle, so we are driving the business and working on doing what we think is right regardless of the price going up or down. Our focus at the moment is
improving our returns and making sure that through the cycle we are industry-leading in our performance.

SAM WALSH:

Thanks Alf. In relation to the question about Glencore, look it is awfully important that we focus on our game, we focus on our strategy and, as the Chairman mentioned coming out of our September strategy review, the board has re-confirmed the strategy going forward. The business improvement we have been talking about today, the realignment of our capital which provides the 5 per cent growth, the focus on materially increasing shareholder returns, it’s important we focus on this rather than some knee-jerk distracted action which is actually not going to deliver value to our shareholders. It’s awfully important.

Now I gave a press interview in Sydney last week, and I’m not the world’s greatest sporting person, but I did use a sporting analogy, that you have got a game plan and somebody from the grandstand shouts some advice, it is awfully important that you stick to your game plan, it’s awfully important that you deliver on that.

I see grandiose speculation that we are going to rush out and buy somebody or I don’t know what. Let me just assure you yet again that we are not looking at any major M&A, we are not looking at doing anything stupid, we are looking at playing our game plan because we and the board believe that will deliver the best value to you, our shareholders. I’m not about to get distracted in this.

Do we have another question? Rob – we are back to Rob. Does this mean we are starting again?
ROB CLIFFORD (Deutsche Bank):

Thanks. I will try to ask something different. Alf, on aluminium you commented that a number of your smelters weren’t hitting internal targets and that all of your assets would need to have a plan to hit those targets or you’d look at alternatives for getting value from them. So how many of your smelters are not at target and how long are you giving them to get there?

SAM WALSH:

Alf.

ALF BARRIOS:

Thank you for the question. I won’t disclose which ones are not in the first quarter as that is confidential information. What I can clearly say is that we are going through quite a thorough exercise, we’ve defined the strategy, we now going to the details of strategy and many of the assets we have, as I pointed out in the presentation, 80 per cent already in the first quarter, so for that 20 per cent which aren’t in the first quarter, the ones we are focusing on, the pathway has been defined to try and get there in the next few months. And then, once that’s done, we will make a decision on the way forward for those that do not meet the guidance that I have given.

Depending therefore what happens afterwards in terms of finding other options we will then make a decision, but I made it very clear that we are looking for value-accrative options for our shareholders, we are not looking to divest these assets if that destroys value for our shareholders.
SAM WALSH:

Thanks Alf. Another question in room at the front and then one down at the back.

BEN HALIGAN (Morgan Stanley)

It’s Ben Haligan at Morgan Stanley. Just coming back to recycling of assets, now that there is a clear cash constraint and there are some very interesting and attractive growth projects, are you putting more emphasis on trying to accelerate getting value from current assets that do not contribute to cash flow or are you just going to take a wait-and-see approach?

SAM WALSH:

Well, I think Alf’s clearly signalled that in terms of the Aluminium business, and we continue to look elsewhere. The presentation commented that since 2013, the last two years, we’ve divested $3.5 billion of assets, but if you take it over the five-year period we’ve actually divested $17.5 billion of assets. So whilst I am aware that others are tidying up their portfolio the truth of the matter is we have been at this for quite some time and we will continue to do it as we physically reshape our portfolio.

An important part in all this, and I know that people are very wise ask to why we took Diamonds off the market and why we took Pacal off the market, and other things we haven’t talked about publicly, is all about value. This is not about ticking boxes, or this is a sort of clean up the house and doesn’t matter what, you’ll do this or that. The asset we have got are good assets and some assets certainly are better than others, but we are not
about to destroy value just so that we can tick a box and say, well, the housekeeping exercise has been successful.

On the other hand, if people want to make offers that do get our attention, that do deliver value, that does recognise the synergies or whatever bright and brilliant ideas they may have about our assets, then of course we are going to look at that because at the end of the day this is all about value and value to our shareholders. That’s what is driving us and I kind of hope I have been making it clear.

We had a question right down the back; we had one on the left hand side.

**BEN McEWAN (CIBC):**

This is Ben McEwan from CIBC. A question on the balance sheet please. What gives you comfort that the gearing ratio is the most appropriate metric to consider the net debt level going forward? Thanks.

**SAM WALSH:**

Okay, that’s a question for Chris.

**CHRIS LYNCH:**

As I said in the speech, really that’s the public communication, that’s the one we will have in the public domain. It’s quite commonly used across the industry variously, but from our point of view it is one that sort of recognises the fact that the balance sheet capacity expands as you build the equity side as well. I think you shouldn’t assume that it is a one
and one only, there will be a series of things that we will be monitoring internally, but that’s the one we have gone public with and I think it’s a pretty good proxy for your cash coverage and your ability to generate cash and maintain recognition of the fact that as you have successful performance you will have more balance sheet capacity going forward.

SAM WALSH:

We had a question on this side at the back.

EUGENE KING (Goldman Sachs):

Hi, it’s Eugene King from Goldman Sachs. Two questions. Just on the iron ore price, we had a discussion around the interims and you advise me to look at the forward curve to value the Company. I just wonder whether that is still true and what role the forward market plays in iron ore?

And then for Alf, just on the Aluminium strategy, you seem to be askew-ing alumina as an export product into China. Given the Indonesian ban, I was just wondering whether you think trying to export bauxite into China is the right strategy or whether given the transport differential they may look to alumina?

SAM WALSH:

Okay, a question for Andrew first of all.

ANDREW HARDING:
I don’t recall advising you about using forwards for the iron ore price but, nonetheless, again it’s up to you what you want to use to actually look at the business going forward. There is a consensus price deck that we’ve supplied, and you can use the forwards if you so desire, or you can develop your own internal sort of projections for what that may well be.

I think I started in Iron Ore in 1992 and I don’t think anyone has got it right since then, and so I would say with that sort of history behind me I don’t think anyone is going to get it right in the future either. But there are many, many sources and I am not going to tell you one is necessarily better than the other. We have our own. We have a large team of people that are actually a part, and we use consultant resources as well to ‘flesh out’ the very many aspects that contribute to us determining what we think the price is going to be. That’s how we do it, but what you want to use is really up to yourself.

SAM WALSH:

I think the fascinating thing is that back in 1992 there weren’t too many people that were overly interested in iron ore prices; I think today there are any number of instant experts on it and you’ll probably find them every corner.

Aluminium strategy in relation to alumina and bauxite.

ALF BARRIOS:

Thank for the question. As I mentioned, we are balanced in alumina and as we see the market in the short to medium term we don’t think it is market where it will make sense for
us to invest capital and expand our capacity. We see a more value-accretive option, the bauxite export market into China, and that’s what we are focusing on, the bauxite, and growing the bauxite I think that will be more value-accretive we think to the shareholders.

We also look at what the Chinese customers are focusing in doing, in the conversations we have had with them in the last few months, and clearly you see them more towards continuing building alumina capacity in China and therefore looking to import bauxite, not to import alumina. So, from our perspective, I think in terms of how we see the future of our business there, this is the right decision for our shareholders.

SAM WALSH:

Okay, we have another question at the front here and then I’ll move back to the telephone line.

MILES ALLSOP (UBS):

It’s Miles Allsop again from UBS. Maybe a couple of questions. You gave a sort of 5.2 per cent growth expectation, 2013-2019, but I presume that is going to be quite front-end loaded with the Pilbara expansion. Could you give us a sense what that growth would be if you looked at 2016-2019 or to the end of the decade?

And then you mentioned Glencore earlier as well, so I feel we can talk about it a bit more, and last week you obviously talked about coal, and it seems that most of the value from a combination would be from a Hunter Valley JV, where there is genuine operational synergies. Would you consider a JV in the Hunter Valley if the relative value was right or is it just about relative valuation that sort of puts you off ‘getting into bed’ with Glencore?
SAM WALSH:

Chris, why don’t you answer the question first about growth?

CHRIS LYNCH:

Yes, it would have slight front-end weighing, but there is consistent growth through that period. If you thinking about the iron ore expansions on the way through, they’re fairly well paced. But one of Sam’s slides earlier on talked about the growth across the various businesses, so it will be slightly higher in probably the first three year window and then probably slightly lower in the back, but I mean, that averages – if you get too deep into averages you can get yourself fairly confused – but that’s the fact.

SAM WALSH:

I think in relation to Hunter Valley coal, which in fact I took the board to visit there last week, our assets in Hunter are in fact premium assets and they run right down the spine. They are long life, they are high quality and, as we mentioned, Harry and his team have done a stunning job in terms of pulling costs out there.

A question that I had in Sydney was, well, with the potential synergies there why won’t you rush at a joint venture? And there was a comment that I made, rather colourfully, was that if you lose your shirt going into a joint venture then it doesn’t really matter about the synergies, and that’s really the issue that we faced there, that the going in valuations weren’t recognising the quality of our assets there.
Now I will come back to the comment that I made earlier, that there are no sacred cows, that if anybody, including Glencore, want to come and make an offer, that recognises the true value of assets and recognises that there are synergies that would apply to combining the assets, then of course we are going to look at it. We will absolutely look at it. But, as I said, I am not about to destroy value in the process just so that we can tick a box or quieten the comments from the grandstand. It’s got to make a value for our business, not just a knee-jerk reaction to quieten somebody down.

Another question in the room? We have one about halfway down on whatever side it is, the left-hand side.

**JAMES GURRY (Credit Suisse):**

Thanks. It’s James Gurry again from Credit Suisse. There are no sacred cows and you spoke I think 12-18 months ago, when you were clearly challenged in Aluminium, you spoke about the various options that you looked at. Isn’t the equity market making you an offer every day for the Aluminium business compared to your own valuation and looking at what the Aluminium peer group trades at? Is that a consideration that you have looked at?

**SAM WALSH:**

It is a consideration that we look at. We continually look at our portfolio. I guess we are in a privileged position that we actually see where the business is actually heading in terms of future value. There is a limit to what we can say today, but I hope that one of the takeaways that you have from today is, hey, there is a bit more value in this Aluminium business than we thought that there was. Alf and Jacynthe and the team have done an
incredible job in terms of turning that business round, in terms of shutting, curtailing, divesting of businesses that didn’t actually pass muster.

If you think of where we were three years ago and you look at the fact that 80 per cent of our aluminium smelters - once Kitimat is completed - will actually be in the first cost quartile. That’s an incredibly privileged position and it reflects on the work and effort and the technology advantage that we have, the hydropower advantage that we have. So I think the answer is the greatest value that we and the board can see for Rio Tinto in relation to Aluminium is to take advantage of the fact that the business has undertaken a remarkable turnaround and there’s more to come.

Another question? I’ll take the one right at the back so I can be totally fair.

**DAVID BUTLER (Barclays):**

It’s David Butler from Barclays. Just back to Oyu Tolgoi again, are you risking a fairly large impairment charge there if you don’t go ahead with Phase 2?

**SAM WALSH:**

Chris, would you like to comment on that?

**CHRIS LYNCH:**

Sorry, in terms of absolutely it never goes ahead?
DAVID BUTLER:

Yes.

CHRIS LYNCH:

Yes, that would result in an impairment, but that’s not our expectation. Our expectation is that common sense prevails in long-term and that the underlying economic value of that project, not only to our shareholders but to the country of Mongolian, becomes quite compelling and I personally am very confident that in the long-term that will happen; it’s a function about exactly when that deadlock breaks. So I don’t really see that as a significant risk in the absolute sense, but obviously patience is a finite thing and we would like to get it done as quickly as we can.

DAVID BUTLER:

What is the official sort of comment on the discussions and where are we in those discussions? You probably get this all the time.

CHRIS LYNCH:

Well, that’s probably a better one for J-S to answer given that he’s probably the most regular traveller between the UK and Mongolia, bar none.

JEAN-SÉBASTIEN JACQUES:
Thank you, Chris. So where we are in the discussion is, and everything I am going to say is already in the public domain, we have been discussing for nearly two years in good faith and resulted in us submitting an offer to the Government of Mongolia. We truly believe that the offer that is on the table is beneficial for all parties.

As you know, there have been a few changes in Ulan Bator recently. There is no Cabinet in place at this point in time so it is difficult to give you a forecast of as and when we are going to restart from that perspective. The only thing I can say is I will be back in UB next week – as Chris mentioned I go there every month more or less – and, as we said, the sooner we can restart the better it is, however, as I have said in the past I am very clear, we will restart only and only if it is value-accretive for shareholders; if it is not, we will not do it. Okay, I can’t say much more than that.

Now at the same time I am going to repeat what I said, there is an offer on the table which is beneficial for all parties, and I don’t know if you are into rugby, but there is a ball there and it’s really for them to pick it up and score the try from the runner. So that’s where we are, and once again I’ll be back in UB next week, it’s only minus 25 as we speak, but nevertheless I am looking forward to it though.

**SAM WALSH:**

This is an important project, it is a very long-term project, it is worth getting the project right, it is worth getting value equation right. We believe that we have been fair in terms of the offer that has been provided to the Government of Mongolia. We believe that it is competitive to other regimes around the world, but the underlying theme here today is about shareholder value and the fact that we are not about to tick boxes just purely and simply because somebody thinks that it ought to be proceeded with. I believe that all parties want the OT Underground to proceed, it is a matter of aligning with this new government and taking it forward.
We have a question on the phone. Let’s take that.

OPERATOR:

Our next question comes from Glyn Lawcock of UBS. Please go ahead.

GLYN LAWCOCK (UBS):

Hey Sam, hopefully you can hear me okay.

SAM WALSH:

Loud and clear.

GLYN LAWCOCK:

I just want to extend a little bit my colleague Miles’s question; he just focused on obviously the Hunter. You were quoted here in the press saying there are cultural differences between yourself and Glencore. I was wondering, one, could you just elaborate what you meant by that? Two, obviously a JV in the Hunter you would operate it as the major party. If you look at the bigger company and you actually talk about the holistic, putting the two companies together, does cultural differences prevent that or is it just about value - if they came with the right number would you do it?
And then the second question is totally different. You mentioned scope changes for South of Embley and I am just wondering if you could elaborate a little bit? Is that you looking at potentially maybe going the full big bang, 50 million tonnes straightaway, and then it is sort of wise probably to slow down a little bit relative to I guess probably mine and the market’s expectations? Thanks.

**SAM WALSH:**

Thanks Glyn. We did miss you in Sydney and we’re pleased you are on the phone today. I hope the birthday party you went to was terrific.

Look I think in relation to Glencore, the questions being asked are really questions for Tony Hayward and his board, rather than us sort of punching at shadows and what-have-you. We have been very transparent, we have actually said everything we can say in relation to the approach we had, and the focus and response that the board had in relation to that, so any comments I would make today would actually be hypothetical and probably not all that helpful. At the end of the day this is a decision for our board, it is a decision that will primarily be based around value but also recognising other factors that can impact there.

Again in relation to the Hunter Valley, it’s hypothetical. If Glencore want to approach us with a proposal there, yes, of course we are going to consider it but only if it delivers value. And part of that process was for us to complete our work on reserves and resources and make an announcement on that. There is a little bit further work behind the scene that’s going on there, but it was important for us to make that release last week.

In relation to the South of Embley, there we are looking at every option we have in terms of optimising that project. It is a very attractive project, it is one of the most attractive
projects that we have going forward, but again in setting up the project which will be a long-term project it is again important to get it right. It is important to get the fundamentals correct now. Why don’t we wait until the feasibility is studied and the project comes to the investment committee and board before we speculate about which direction it may actually be going?

Do we have another question in the room? A question in the middle there again.

TIM HUFF (RBC):

It’s Tim Huff from RBC again. Sam, just really quickly, you dropped in there a little bit earlier on the topic of shareholder returns, you dropped in there working capital gains and obviously at the Half Year there was a big focus on that. Alan in your presentation you got the reduction of 271 that’s in there over the last year and a half. I was wondering if either one of you can give us some colour around how you went about doing that, your approach, whether it was targeted, whether it was an absolute amount, whether it was relative on the turns and whether you are all the way there or not? Clearly it’s a look through the Company, but any thoughts around that would be helpful.

SAM WALSH:

Certainly in terms of Alan’s area there has been a major focus on being market driven and ensuring that in terms of relative inventory and receivables and payables that we are highly competitive in terms of what we are doing. If you look at a broader business, we have had a team very intensively work on this, in fact, since I took over.

For those of you who don’t know my history, I came out of the car industry, just in time actually came out of the Japanese car industry, so it is something is a significant focus for
me because I believe that the mining industry is nowhere near as competitive as in this case manufacturing in terms of how you manage working capital.

Now you do need to have processes in control and capable to actually move to a low inventory situation and you need to ensure that you don’t create extra sort of capex by going down that path, but it is a journey that is underway. It is very much a process and come February all will be revealed as to the journey this year. But I think it is a significant opportunity for us.

I think that’s probably it. I think we have done pretty well in terms of responding to questions. I just want to thank you all for being here. I am delighted to see you all. We will have some refreshments afterwards and we’d love to have you join us. My Exco team and other colleagues in the audience will be mingling with you, so it is a chance to ask those questions that you were too shy to ask.

But let me just reinforce the message that I made about improving the business, delivering the growth and delivering material increase in shareholder returns. That’s what is driving us, that’s what fundamental to what we doing, and that’s what we will be talking about in February. Thank you very much.

(End of Q&A session)